arXiv:1612.08781v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 12 Jan 2017

Out-of-Time-Order Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem

Naoto Tsuji,[1] Tomohiro Shitara,[2] and Masahito Ueda[2,1]

[1]*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan*
[2]*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

(Dated: January 13, 2017)

We prove a generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem for a certain class of out-of-time-order correlation (OTOC) functions, which we call "bipartite" OTOCs, for general quantum systems in thermal equilibrium. The difference between the bipartite and physical OTOCs is quantified by a measure of quantum fluctuations known as the Wigner-Yanase skew information. Within this difference, the theorem describes a universal relation among the second moments of fluctuation and dissipation, and their cross-correlation. We show that the theorem can be generalized to higher-order *n*-partite OTOCs as well as in the form of generalized covariance.

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) relates nonequilibrium transport coefficients to equilibrium fluctuation properties, and plays a pivotal role in statistical mechanics. It dates back to Einstein's study of Brownian motion [1] and the Nyquist relation between a thermal noise in voltage and resistance [2], culminating in linear response theory [3] (for a review, see, e.g. [4]).

The FDT relates the expectation values of the commutator and anticommutator,

$$C_{[A,B]}(t,t') \equiv \langle [\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')] \rangle, \tag{1}$$

$$C_{\{A,B\}}(t,t') \equiv \langle \{\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')\} \rangle, \tag{2}$$

of arbitrary (bosonic or fermionic [5]) Heisenberg operators $\hat{A}(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}\hat{A}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}$ and $\hat{B}(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}\hat{B}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}$. Here $\hat{H}$ is the Hamiltonian of the system, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $\langle\cdot\rangle \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\,\cdot)$, and $\hat{\rho} = e^{-\beta\hat{H}}/Z$ ($Z = \mathrm{Tr}e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$) is the thermal density matrix with $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ being the inverse temperature (k_B is the Boltzmann constant). In the Fourier representation [i.e., $C_{\{A,B\}}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\, e^{i\omega t} C_{\{A,B\}}(t,0)$, etc.], the FDT is expressed as

$$C_{\{A,B\}}(\omega) = \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}\right) C_{[A,B]}(\omega). \tag{3}$$

If either $\hat{A}$ or $\hat{B}$ is bosonic, $C_{\{A,B\}}(\omega)$ represents the thermal fluctuation, while $C_{[A,B]}(\omega)$ represents the dissipation (and vice versa if both $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are fermionic).

What is the law that governs higher-order fluctuations beyond the FDT (3) and beyond the linear response regime? The generalization of the FDT has led to deeper understanding of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. The prime examples are the fluctuation theorem [6, 7] and the Jarzynski equality [8], which are valid in arbitrary far off-equilibrium, reproduce the FDT (3) if applied to near thermal equilibrium, and place constraints on higher-order fluctuations.

In this Letter, we pursuit a different direction of generalization of the FDT by considering the second moments of fluctuation and dissipation such as $\langle \{\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')\}^2 \rangle$ and $\langle [\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t')]^2 \rangle$. They involve the operator sequences $\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t')$ and $\hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t)$, so that they constitute out-of-time-order correlation (OTOC) functions [9]. The OTOC has attracted a growing attention as a measure to characterize the chaotic behavior in quantum systems [10] (analogous to the Lyapunov exponent for classical chaotic systems, see also [11]), and generated interest in various contexts including the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [10, 12, 13], black holes and the holography principle [14–16], quantum information [17–19], many-body localization [20–23], and strongly correlated systems [24–28].

Here we find that the FDT is generalized for OTOCs not in a straightforward manner but in a twisted form. Namely, we should split the thermal density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ into two $\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}$'s, one of which is inserted in between commutators and/or anticommutators of $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{B}(t')$. To be specific, we define a *bipartite* OTOC [16, 29–31] as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t') &\equiv C_{[A,B]_{\alpha_1}[A,B]_{\alpha_2}}(t,t') \\ &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]_{\alpha_1}\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]_{\alpha_2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \pm$, and $[,]_{-(+)}$ represents the commutator (anticommutator). Note the difference between (4) and a *physical* OTOC,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{AB}^{\mathrm{phys},\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t') &\equiv C^{\mathrm{phys}}_{[A,B]_{\alpha_1}[A,B]_{\alpha_2}}(t,t') \\ &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\rho}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]_{\alpha_1}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]_{\alpha_2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which has a direct physical meaning of the expectation value of products of (anti)commutators. Depending on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \pm$, Eq. (4) introduces four types of bipartite OTOCs, among which $C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}$ and $C_{[A,B]\{A,B\}}$ are equivalent due to the cyclic invariance of the trace. Hence there are three independent bipartite OTOCs for a given pair of $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$.

One of the main results of this Letter is that for *any* quantum system in thermal equilibrium these three bipartite OTOCs are related via

$$C_{\{A,B\}^2}(\omega) + C_{[A,B]^2}(\omega) = 2\coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{4}\right) C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}(\omega), \tag{6}$$

which we call the out-of-time-order fluctuation-dissipation theorem. If we neglect the difference in operator ordering between (4) and (5) (which is justified in the classical limit), the equality (6) implies a universal relation among the second moments of fluctuation and dissipation, and their cross-correlation. In this sense, the equality (6) can be viewed as a second-order generalization of the FDT (3).

Before proving the equality (6), we note that the difference between $C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$ (4) and $C_{AB}^{\mathrm{phys},\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$ (5) has the same form as the Wigner-Yanase (WY) skew information [32, 33] defined by

$$I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho},\hat{O}) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{O}^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{O}\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{O}) \tag{7}$$

for a hermitian operator $\hat{O}$. It serves as a measure of information contents concerning quantum fluctuations. In fact, it satisfies the inequalities

$$0 \le I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho},\hat{O}) \le \langle(\Delta\hat{O})^2\rangle, \tag{8}$$

where $\Delta\hat{O} \equiv \hat{O} - \langle\hat{O}\rangle$ and $\langle(\Delta\hat{O})^2\rangle$ represents the variance for an observable $\hat{O}$ [34]. The inequality on the left-hand side (LHS) of (8) is saturated when $[\hat{\rho},\hat{O}] = 0$, and the one on the right-hand side (RHS) is saturated when $\hat{\rho}$ is a pure state. Furthermore, the WY skew information is a convex function with respect to a quantum state [35],

$$I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda\hat{\rho}_1 + (1-\lambda)\hat{\rho}_2,\hat{O}) \le \lambda I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho}_1,\hat{O}) + (1-\lambda)I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho}_2,\hat{O}) \tag{9}$$

for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, that is, it decreases under a classical mixing of quantum states, justifying [with (8)] the use of $I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho},\hat{O})$ as an information-theoretic measure of quantum fluctuations.

If $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are hermitian, $C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$ and $C_{AB}^{\mathrm{phys},\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$ are related to the WY skew information via

$$C_{\{A,B\}^2}(t,t') = C_{\{A,B\}^2}^{\mathrm{phys}}(t,t') - I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\rho},\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\}\right), \tag{10}$$

$$C_{[A,B]^2}(t,t') = C_{[A,B]^2}^{\mathrm{phys}}(t,t') + I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\rho},i[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]\right), \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}(t,t') &= \tfrac{1}{2}C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}^{\mathrm{phys}}(t,t') + \tfrac{1}{2}C_{[A,B]\{A,B\}}^{\mathrm{phys}}(t,t') \\ &+ \tfrac{i}{4}I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\rho},\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\} + i[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]\right) \\ &- \tfrac{i}{4}I_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\rho},\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\} - i[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that $\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\}$, $i[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]$, and $\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\} \pm i[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]$ are hermitian. Hence the difference between the bipartite (4) and physical OTOCs (5) can be expressed in terms of the skew information. Up to this difference, the equality (6) shows the relation among the second moments of fluctuation and dissipation, and their cross-correlation.

In the classical limit, the quantum fluctuation becomes negligible, and one can identify $C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$ with $C_{AB}^{\mathrm{phys},\alpha_1\alpha_2}(t,t')$. In this limit $\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]$ is replaced by the Poisson bracket $\{A(t),B(t')\}_P$, while $\{\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')\}$ reduces to the product $2A(t)B(t')$. Therefore, the second term on the LHS of (6) vanishes for $\hbar \to 0$. The classical limit of the FDT (6) can thus be expressed as

$$\partial_t\langle\langle A(t)^2B(t')^2\rangle\rangle = k_BT\langle\langle\{A(t)^2,B(t')^2\}_P\rangle\rangle, \tag{13}$$

where $\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle$ denotes the classical phase-space average with respect to the Gibbs ensemble. We can see that the classical limit of (6) reduces to that of the conventional FDT (3) with $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ replaced by $A(t)^2$ and $B(t)^2$, respectively. For chaotic systems in which $\langle[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(0)]^2\rangle$ grows exponentially in time (as in the case of the SYK model [10, 13]), the classical approximation may break down at the time scale of the order of the Ehrenfest time [16]. Beyond this time scale, the relation (13) should be altered by (6).

Now, let us prove the equality (6). To this end, we introduce a representation of the bipartite OTOCs different from (4):

$$C_{AB}^{\mu_1\mu_2}(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'))^{\mu_1}\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'))^{\mu_2}\right), \tag{14}$$

where $\mu_1,\mu_2 = >,<$, and

$$(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'))^{\mu_i} \equiv \begin{cases} \hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t') & \text{for} \quad \mu_i = >, \\ \hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t) & \text{for} \quad \mu_i = <. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

In the above definition, we do not include the minus sign for $\mu_i = <$ when both $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are fermionic. However, all the arguments below can equally be applied to this case without any change. Again there is a trivial redundancy in (14), $C_{AB}^{><} = C_{AB}^{<>}$, due to the cyclic invariance of the trace. The two representations (4) and (14) are connected by a linear transformation

$$L\begin{pmatrix} C_{AB}^{>>} & C_{AB}^{><} \\ C_{AB}^{<>} & C_{AB}^{<<} \end{pmatrix}L^T = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} C_{[A,B]^2} & C_{[A,B]\{A,B\}} \\ C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]} & C_{\{A,B\}^2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where

$$L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

is an orthogonal matrix, and L^T is the transpose of L. For convenience, we use notations, $C_{(AB)^2}(t,t') \equiv C_{AB}^{>>}(t,t')$ and $C_{(BA)^2}(t',t) \equiv C_{AB}^{<<}(t,t')$. We remark the parallelism of the formulation with that for Keldysh Green's functions [36–38].

We first show that $C_{(AB)^2}$ and $C_{(BA)^2}$ are related by

$$C_{(BA)^2}(\omega) = e^{\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}}C_{(AB)^2}(-\omega). \tag{18}$$

This relation is analogous to the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger condition $C_{BA}(\omega) = e^{\beta\hbar\omega}C_{AB}(-\omega)$ [3, 39] for conventional correlation functions $C_{AB}(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'))$. The equality (18) can be proven as follows. We insert four complete sets of the eigenstates $\sum_k |k\rangle\langle k|$ of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ (with the eigenenergies E_k) in the definitions of $C_{(AB)^2}(t,t')$ and $C_{(BA)^2}(t,t')$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(AB)^2}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k,l,m,n} & e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_k+E_m)}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_k-E_l+E_m-E_n)(t-t')} \\ & \times \langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(BA)^2}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k,l,m,n} & e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_k+E_m)}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_k-E_l+E_m-E_n)(t-t')} \\ & \times \langle k|\hat{B}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{A}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{B}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{A}|k\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In Eq. (20), we cyclically permute the labels as $k \to l \to m \to n \to k$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(BA)^2}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k,l,m,n} & e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_l+E_n)}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_k-E_l+E_m-E_n)(t-t')} \\ & \times \langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

After the Fourier transformation, they are represented as

$$C_{(AB)^2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k,l,m,n} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_k+E_m)} 2\pi\delta\big(\omega + \tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_k - E_l + E_m - E_n)\big) \times \langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$C_{(BA)^2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k,l,m,n} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_l+E_n)} 2\pi\delta\big(\omega - \tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_k - E_l + E_m - E_n)\big) \times \langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle. \quad (23)$$

Due to the presence of the delta function, we can replace $E_l + E_n$ in the exponential in Eq. (23) with $E_k + E_m - \hbar\omega$. By comparing it with Eq. (22), we obtain the equality (18).

With the relation (18), the LHS of (6) is transformed as

$$C_{\{A,B\}^2}(\omega) + C_{[A,B]^2}(\omega) = 2C_{(AB)^2}(\omega) + 2C_{(BA)^2}(-\omega) = 2(1 + e^{-\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}})C_{(AB)^2}(\omega), \quad (24)$$

while the RHS is

$$C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}(\omega) = C_{(AB)^2}(\omega) - C_{(BA)^2}(-\omega) = (1 - e^{-\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}})C_{(AB)^2}(\omega). \quad (25)$$

Combining Eqs. (24) and (25), we arrive at the out-of-time-order FDT (6).

To see how nontrivial the relation (6) is, we combine Eqs. (3) and (6) and use $\coth(\beta\hbar\omega/2) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth(\beta\hbar\omega/4) + \coth(\beta\hbar\omega/4)^{-1}\right]$ to remove the temperature factor. We then obtain a nonlinear relation between usual correlation functions and OTOCs,

$$\frac{C_{\{A,B\}}(\omega)}{C_{[A,B]}(\omega)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{C_{\{A,B\}^2}(\omega) + C_{[A,B]^2}(\omega)}{2C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}(\omega)} + \frac{2C_{\{A,B\}[A,B]}(\omega)}{C_{\{A,B\}^2}(\omega) + C_{[A,B]^2}(\omega)}\right]. \quad (26)$$

Equation (26) is an identity that holds for arbitrary linear operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ as long as the system is in thermal equilibrium.

Let us recall that the FDT (3) can also be expressed as

$$C_{\{A,B\}}(\omega) = \beta\hbar\omega\coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}\right)\Phi_{AB}(\omega), \quad (27)$$

where Φ_{AB} is a canonical correlation,

$$\Phi_{AB}(t,t') \equiv \int_0^1 d\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\rho}^{1-\lambda}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\lambda}\hat{B}(t')\right). \quad (28)$$

Analogous to this, the RHS of (6) can be rewritten in a form of a canonical correlation. We define a canonical bipartite OTOC as

$$\Phi_{(AB)^2}(t,t') \equiv \int_0^1 d\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}(t')\right)^2\right]. \quad (29)$$

We again insert the complete set of the eigenstates, obtaining

$$\Phi_{(AB)^2}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\int_0^1 d\lambda \sum_{k,l,m,n} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_k+E_m)} e^{\frac{\lambda\beta}{2}(E_k-E_l+E_m-E_n)} \times e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_k-E_l+E_m-E_n)(t-t')}\langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle, \quad (30)$$

which is Fourier transformed into

$$\Phi_{(AB)^2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\int_0^1 d\lambda\, e^{-\frac{\lambda\beta}{2}\hbar\omega}\sum_{k,l,m,n} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_k+E_m)} \times 2\pi\delta\big(\omega + \tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_k - E_l + E_m - E_n)\big) \times \langle k|\hat{A}|l\rangle\langle l|\hat{B}|m\rangle\langle m|\hat{A}|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{B}|k\rangle = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}}}{\beta\hbar\omega/2}C_{(AB)^2}(\omega). \quad (31)$$

From Eqs. (24) and (31), we derive the relation

$$C_{\{A,B\}^2}(\omega) + C_{[A,B]^2}(\omega) = \beta\hbar\omega\coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{4}\right)\Phi_{(AB)^2}(\omega). \quad (32)$$

This is the second-order generalization of (27).

The FDT (6) for OTOCs can be generalized in two ways. One is to extend the relation to higher-order OTOCs. Let us define an n-partite OTOC,

$$C_{AB}^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_n}(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{n}}(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'))^{\mu_i}\right], \quad (33)$$

with $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n = >, <$. In particular, we use abbreviations, $C_{(AB)^n}(t,t') \equiv C_{AB}^{>>\cdots>}(t,t')$ and $C_{(BA)^n}(t',t) \equiv C_{AB}^{<<\cdots<}(t,t')$. We perform a tensor transformation

$$C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(t,t') \equiv 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n} L^{\alpha_1}{}_{\mu_1}L^{\alpha_2}{}_{\mu_2}\cdots L^{\alpha_n}{}_{\mu_n}C_{AB}^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_n}(t,t') \quad (34)$$

to switch to the commutator/anticommutator representation,

$$C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(t,t') = C_{[A,B]_{\alpha_1}[A,B]_{\alpha_2}\cdots[A,B]_{\alpha_n}}(t,t') = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{n}}[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t')]_{\alpha_i}\right), \quad (35)$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n = \pm$. The transformation (34) is a higher-order generalization of Eq. (16). There are redundancies in the definitions (33) and (35), $C_{AB}^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_n}(t,t') = C_{AB}^{\mu_n\mu_1\cdots\mu_{n-1}}(t,t')$ and $C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(t,t') = C_{AB}^{\alpha_n\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{n-1}}(t,t')$, due to the cyclic invariance of the trace.

In the same way as for $n = 2$ [Eq. (18)], we can prove [40]

$$C_{(BA)^n}(\omega) = e^{\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{n}}C_{(AB)^n}(-\omega) \quad (36)$$

for arbitrary $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. To rewrite the equality (36) in the form of the FDT, we carry out (anti)symmetrization like Eqs. (24) and (25),

$$C_{(\{A,B\}+[A,B])^n}(\omega) \pm C_{(\{A,B\}-[A,B])^n}(\omega) = 2^n[C_{(AB)^n}(\omega) \pm C_{(BA)^n}(-\omega)] = 2^n(1 \pm e^{-\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{n}})C_{(AB)^n}(\omega). \quad (37)$$

By taking the ratio for both sides of Eq. (37) between the ones with + and − signs and explicitly expanding $(\{A,B\} \pm$

$[A,B])^n$, we arrive at

$$\sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=+} C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(\omega) = \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right) \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=-} C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(\omega). \quad (38)$$

Equation (38) is the nth-order generalization of the out-of-time-order FDT. The even-numbered terms in the binomial expansion $(\{A,B\}+[A,B])^n$ appear on the LHS of Eq. (38), while the odd-numbered terms appear on the RHS.

The RHS of Eq. (38) can be expressed in the form of a canonical correlation, similarly to Eq. (32). We define an n-partite canonical OTOC as

$$\Phi_{(AB)^n}(t,t') \equiv \int_0^1 d\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\lambda}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}\hat{B}(t')\right)^n\right]. \quad (39)$$

By the same calculation as for $n=2$, we can prove [40]

$$\sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=+} C_{AB}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(\omega) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{n}\beta\hbar\omega\coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right)\Phi_{(AB)^n}(\omega). \quad (40)$$

In this way, we have obtained infinitely many rigorous equalities [(38) and (40)] for OTOCs. The classical limit of Eq. (38) formally becomes

$$\partial_t\langle\langle A(t)^n B(t')^n\rangle\rangle = k_B T\langle\langle\{A(t)^n, B(t')^n\}_P\rangle\rangle, \quad (41)$$

which corresponds to that of the conventional FDT (3) with $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ replaced by $A(t)^n$ and $B(t)^n$, respectively.

The other generalization is to extend the FDT in the form of generalized covariance [41, 42] defined by

$$C_{AB}^f(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{A}(t)\boldsymbol{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f[\hat{B}(t')]\right), \quad (42)$$

where $\boldsymbol{K}_{\hat{\rho}}^f \equiv f(\boldsymbol{L}_{\hat{\rho}}\boldsymbol{R}_{\hat{\rho}}^{-1})\boldsymbol{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$ is a super-operator, $\boldsymbol{R}_{\hat{\rho}}$ ($\boldsymbol{L}_{\hat{\rho}}$) denotes an operation of multiplying $\hat{\rho}$ from the RHS (LHS), and $f(x)$ is an operator monotone function satisfying $0 \le \hat{A} \le \hat{B} \Rightarrow f(\hat{A}) \le f(\hat{B})$. Equation (42) generalizes the classical covariance for two observables that do not necessarily commute with $\hat{\rho}$. The generalized covariance has played an important role in estimation theory involving the quantum Fisher information [43–45]. It has recently been shown [46] that the conventional FDT (3) can be generalized to

$$C_{AB}^f(\omega) = \beta\hbar\omega\frac{f(e^{-\beta\hbar\omega})}{1-e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}\Phi_{AB}(\omega). \quad (43)$$

The relation (27) is a special case of Eq. (43) with $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$. Equation (43) provides a way to measure the generalized covariance through the response function.

With the generalized covariance, the n-partite OTOC is generalized in a form of

$$C_{(AB)^n}^f(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\hat{A}(t)\boldsymbol{K}_{\hat{\rho}^{1/n}}^f[\hat{B}(t')]\right]^n\right). \quad (44)$$

In particular, if we take $f(x) = x^\gamma$ with $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ [47], Eq. (44) reads

$$C_{(AB)^n}^f(t,t') = C_{(AB)^n}^\gamma(t,t') = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(t')\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]^n\right). \quad (45)$$

By similar calculations used in deriving Eqs. (36) and (38), we can prove [40]

$$C_{(BA)^n}^\gamma(\omega) = e^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{n}\beta\hbar\omega}C_{(AB)^n}^\gamma(-\omega), \quad (46)$$

which reduces to Eq. (36) for $\gamma=0$ and leads to a generalized out-of-time-order FDT,

$$\sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=+} C_{AB}^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(\omega) = \coth\left((1-2\gamma)\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right) \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=-} C_{AB}^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(\omega) \quad (47)$$

$$= \frac{2^{n-1}}{n}\beta\hbar\omega\coth\left((1-2\gamma)\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right)\Phi_{(AB)^n}^\gamma(\omega). \quad (48)$$

Here we have defined

$$C_{AB}^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}(t,t') \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n\left[\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(t')\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}} + \alpha_i\hat{B}(t')\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]\right), \quad (49)$$

$$\Phi_{(AB)^n}^\gamma(t,t') \equiv \int_\gamma^{1-\gamma} d\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\lambda}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}\hat{B}(t')\right)^n\right]. \quad (50)$$

The equality (47) is the most general form of the out-of-time-order FDT derived in this paper, including Eqs. (6) and (38) as special cases.

In conclusion, we have found the generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem [Eqs. (6) and (32)] for bipartite out-of-time-order correlation functions [Eq. (4)], which describes the general behavior of higher-order moments of fluctuations. The difference between the bipartite and physical OTOCs is characterized by the Wigner-Yanase skew information [Eqs. (10)-(12)], which quantifies the information contents in the corresponding quantum fluctuations. We have further extended the theorem to n-partite OTOCs [Eqs. (38) and (40)] and in the form of generalized covariance [Eqs. (47) and (48)]. We emphasize that the relation (6) can be experimentally tested by using the measurement protocol for bipartite OTOCs proposed in Ref. [30]. Our results arouse various interesting open questions such as the implication to quantum chaos and the relation to the fluctuation theorem [6, 7] (see also [48]), which merit further study.

NT is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP16K17729. TS acknowledges support from Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (KAKENHI Grant No. JP16J06936) and the Advanced Leading Graduate Course for Photon Science (ALPS) of JSPS. MU acknowledges support by KAKENHI Grant No. JP26287088 and KAKENHI Grant No. JP15H05855.

[1] A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. **322**, 549 (1905).
[2] H. Nyquist, Phys. Rev. **32**, 110 (1928).
[3] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12**, 570 (1957).
[4] U. M. B. Marconi, A. Puglisi, L. Rondoni, and A. Vulpiani, Phys. Rep. **461**, 111 (2008).
[5] We call an operator $\hat{A}$ bosonic (fermionic) if $\hat{A}$ is a linear combination of operators each of which contains an even (odd) number of fermion creation and/or annihilation operators.
[6] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2401 (1993).
[7] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 1645 (1994).
[8] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690 (1997).
[9] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **28**, 1200 (1969).
[10] A. Kitaev, talks at KITP (2015): `http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev/`, `http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev2/`.
[11] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, arXiv:1609.01707.
[12] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3339 (1993).
[13] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 106002 (2016).
[14] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 046.
[15] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2015) 132.
[16] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 106.
[17] P. Hosur, X.-L. Qi, D. A. Roberts, and B. Yoshida, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2016) 004.
[18] B. Swingle, G. Bentsen, M. Schleier-Smith, and P. Hayden, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 040302 (2016).
[19] M. Campisi and J. Goold, arXiv:1609.05848.
[20] Y. Huang, Y.-L. Zhang, and X. Chen, arXiv:1608.01091.
[21] R. Fan, P. Zhang, H. Shen, and H. Zhai, arXiv:1608.01914.
[22] Y. Chen, arXiv:1608.02765.
[23] R.-Q. He and Z.-Y. Lu, arXiv:1608.03586.
[24] H. Shen, P. Zhang, R. Fan, and H. Zhai, arXiv:1608.02438.
[25] I. L. Aleiner, L. Faoro, and L. B. Ioffe, Annal. Phys. **375**, 378 (2016).
[26] N. Tsuji, P. Werner, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 011601(R) (2017).
[27] B. Dóra and R. Moessner, arXiv:1612.00614.
[28] A. Bohrdt, C. B. Mendl, M. Endres, and M. Knap, arXiv:1612.02434.
[29] D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2016) 009.
[30] N. Y. Yao, F. Grusdt, B. Swingle, M. D. Lukin, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, J. E. Moore, and E. Demler, arXiv:1607.01801.
[31] A. A. Patel and S. Sachdev, arXiv:1611.00003.
[32] E. P. Wigner and M. M. Yanase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **49**, 910 (1963).
[33] There is a one-parameter generalization of the WY skew information by Dyson, $I_\alpha(\hat{\rho},\hat{O}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{O}^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^\alpha\hat{O}\rho^{1-\alpha}\hat{O})$ $(0 \le \alpha \le 1)$.
[34] For an additive observable $\hat{O}$, $\langle(\Delta\hat{O})^2\rangle$ usually behaves as $O(N)$ [49] (N is the number of particles), in which case $I_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\rho},\hat{O})$ is much smaller than $\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{O}^2) \sim \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{O}\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{O}) \sim O(N^2)$.
[35] E. H. Lieb, Adv. Math. **11**, 267 (1973).
[36] L. V. Keldysh, Sov. Phys. JETP **20**, 1018 (1965).
[37] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **41**, 960 (1975).
[38] J. Rammer, *Quantum Field Theory of Non-equilibrium States* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
[39] P. C. Martin and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **115**, 1342 (1959).
[40] See Supplementary Material.
[41] D. Petz, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 929 (2002).
[42] P. Gibilisco, F. Hiai, and D. Petz, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **55**, 439 (2009).
[43] C. Helstrom, Phys. Lett. A **25**, 101 (1967).
[44] H. P. Yuen and M. Lax, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **19**, 740 (1973).
[45] D. Petz, Lin. Alg. Appl. **244**, 81 (1996).
[46] T. Shitara and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 062316 (2016).
[47] For $f(x) = x^\gamma$ to be operator monotone, we need $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. However, the equalities (46), (47), and (48) hold for arbitrary $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.
[48] N. Y. Halpern, arXiv:1609.00015.
[49] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, Part 1* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 3rd ed., 1980).

arXiv:1612.08781v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 12 Jan 2017

Supplementary Material for "Out-of-Time-Order Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem"

Naoto Tsuji,[1,2] Tomohiro Shitara,[3] and Masahito Ueda[3,1]

[1]*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan*
[2]*PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan*
[3]*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

I. PROOF OF THE EQUALITIES (36), (40), (46), (47), AND (48)

In this supplementary material, we prove the equalities (46), (47), and (48) given in the main text, i.e.,

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(\omega) = e^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{n}\beta\hbar\omega} C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(-\omega), \tag{S.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=+} C^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}_{AB}(\omega) = \coth\left((1-2\gamma)\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right) \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=-} C^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}_{AB}(\omega) \tag{S.2}$$

$$= \frac{2^{n-1}}{n}\beta\hbar\omega \coth\left((1-2\gamma)\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2n}\right) \Phi^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega). \tag{S.3}$$

with $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Here, $C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega)$ and $C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(\omega)$ in Eq. (S.1) are the Fourier transforms of n-partite out-of-time-ordered correlation (OTOC) functions

$$C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(t,t') = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(t')\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]^n\right), \tag{S.4}$$

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(t,t') = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\hat{B}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t')\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]^n\right). \tag{S.5}$$

Equalities (36) and (40) in the main text are special cases of Eqs. (S.1) and (S.3) with $\gamma = 0$.

First, we expand $C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(t,t')$ and $C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(t,t')$ in the basis of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$,

$$C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}})} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})(t-t')}$$
$$\times \langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle, \tag{S.6}$$

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}})} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})(t-t')}$$
$$\times \langle i_1|\hat{B}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{A}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{B}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{A}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{B}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{A}|i_1\rangle. \tag{S.7}$$

Then we permute the summation indices as $i_1 \to i_2 \to i_3 \to \cdots \to i_{2n-1} \to i_{2n} \to i_1$ in Eq. (S.7), obtaining

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}})-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})(t-t')}$$
$$\times \langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle. \tag{S.8}$$

Fourier transforming Eqs. (S.6) and (S.8), we obtain

$$C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}})} 2\pi\delta\left(\omega + \tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})\right)$$
$$\times \langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle, \tag{S.9}$$

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}})-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})} 2\pi\delta\left(\omega - \tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})\right)$$
$$\times \langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle. \tag{S.10}$$

Due to the presence of the delta function, we can replace $E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}}$ with $E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-\hbar\omega$, and $E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}$ with $E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}}+\hbar\omega$ in the exponential in Eq. (S.10), which results in

$$C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-\hbar\omega)-\frac{\gamma\beta}{n}(E_{i_2}+E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n}}+\hbar\omega)} 2\pi\delta\left(\omega-\tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}}-E_{i_{2n}})\right)$$
$$\times\langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle. \tag{S.11}$$

By comparing this with Eq. (S.9), we prove the equality (46) [i.e., (S.1)].

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n=\pm}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n=+(-)} C^{\gamma,\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n}_{AB}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\, e^{i\omega t}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\left(\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}+\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right)+\left(\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}-\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right)\right]^n\right.\\
&\left.+(-)\left[\left(\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}+\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right)-\left(\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}-\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right)\right]^n\right)\\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\, e^{i\omega t}2^{n-1}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]^n+(-)\left[\hat{B}(0)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}\hat{A}(t)\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{n}}\right]^n\right)\\
&= 2^{n-1}\left[C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega)+(-)C^{\gamma}_{(BA)^n}(-\omega)\right]\\
&= 2^{n-1}\left[1+(-)e^{-\frac{(1-2\gamma)}{n}\beta\hbar\omega}\right]C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.12}$$

Here we have used the relation (46) in deriving the fourth equality. Taking the ratio of both sides of Eq. (S.12) between the ones with + and − signs proves Eq. (47) [i.e., (S.2)].

Finally, we expand the partial n-partite canonical OTOC $\Phi^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(t,t')$ defined by Eq. (50) in the basis of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$,

$$\Phi^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(t,t') = \frac{1}{Z}\int_{\gamma}^{1-\gamma} d\lambda \sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})} e^{\frac{\lambda\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{2n-1}-E_{2n})} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots+E_{2n-1}-E_{2n})(t-t')}$$
$$\times\langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle, \tag{S.13}$$

which is Fourier transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{Z}\int_{\gamma}^{1-\gamma} d\lambda e^{-\frac{\lambda\beta}{n}\hbar\omega}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})} 2\pi\delta\left(\omega+\tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots-E_{2n})\right)\\
&\quad\times\langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{Z}\frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}\beta\hbar\omega}-e^{-\frac{(1-\gamma)}{n}\beta\hbar\omega}}{\beta\hbar\omega/n}\sum_{i_1\cdots i_{2n}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{n}(E_{i_1}+E_{i_3}+\cdots+E_{i_{2n-1}})} 2\pi\delta\left(\omega+\tfrac{1}{\hbar}(E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}+E_{i_3}-E_{i_4}+\cdots-E_{2n})\right)\\
&\quad\times\langle i_1|\hat{A}|i_2\rangle\langle i_2|\hat{B}|i_3\rangle\langle i_3|\hat{A}|i_4\rangle\langle i_4|\hat{B}|i_5\rangle\cdots\langle i_{2n-1}|\hat{A}|i_{2n}\rangle\langle i_{2n}|\hat{B}|i_1\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.14}$$

By comparing this with Eq. (S.9), we obtain

$$\Phi^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega) = \frac{n}{\beta\hbar\omega}\left[1-e^{-\frac{(1-2\gamma)}{n}\beta\hbar\omega}\right]C^{\gamma}_{(AB)^n}(\omega). \tag{S.15}$$

The combination of Eqs. (S.12) and (S.15) proves Eq. (48) [i.e., (S.3)].